28

Supplement to Reply Brief.

Once again, Plaintiffs attempt to argue that pursuant to a newly decided case, terminating sanctions are appropriate in a case in which there has been intentional spoliation of evidence. And once again, Plaintiffs have ignored the crux of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion: the inquiry here is a factual one, requiring at the very least, an evidentiary hearing on the issue of whether Defendants have, in fact, engaged in spoliation of evidence. Defendants vehemently deny that there has been spoliation. There have been no depositions taken in the case, and the only guidance provided to the Court at this time are the reports by the experts retained by the parties.

Plaintiffs' argument to the effect that Defendants engaged in spoliation of evidence is the allegation that Mr. Angelone deleted the Google Workspace account of HEFG. As was stated by Mr. Angelone in his Declaration in Opposition to the instant Motion (Dkt. 121 p.2) he did not delete the account. In addition, as is discussed in the Rebuttal Report and Expert Opinion of Rick Watts attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Sandra Calin (Dkt. 122), Mr. Watts at page 12 of his report states that there is no factual basis for the opinion of Plaintiff's expert Erin Burke that Mr. Angelone deleted the Google Workspace account. In fact, Mr. Watts specifically states that he reviewed the Google logs that indicate who had actually logged into the subject account. At pages 14-15, ¶¶ 44 – 46, Mr. Watts cites information received directly from Google to the effect that there was no login by Mr. Angelone to the Google Workspace account after October 7, 2022.

The critical inquiry here is whether there was, in fact, spoliation of evidence, and that cannot be determined without a full evidentiary hearing, or at least testimony from depositions where parties can be cross-examined.

Defendants respectfully urge the Court to deny Plaintiffs' Motion, which essentially seeks to circumvent the due process of allowing Defendants to try this case on its merits, rather than the harsh sanction of terminating the case at this early juncture. Nothing in the case cited by Plaintiffs in their Supplement to Reply Brief

changes this analysis. Simply because a new case has held that terminating sanctions are appropriate in a case where there has been spoliation of evidence does not mean that in the case at bar, based on the facts presented, there has been spoliation of evidence. Therefore, terminating sanctions are not proper. DATED: March 6, 2024 KRAMER, DEBOER & KEANE By: JEFFREY S. KRAMER SANDRA CALIN Attorneys for Defendants DARRICK ANGELONE, AONE CREATIVE, LLC, and ON CHAIN INNOVATIONS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am employed in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action; my business address is 21860 Burbank Blvd., Suite 370, Woodland Hills, CA 91367. My email address is ynelson@kdeklaw.com.

I certify that on March 6, 2024, I served: **DEFENDANTS' SUR-REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENT TO REPLY BRIEF** on the following parties or counsel of record as follows:

LAWRENCE HINKLE (SBN 180551)	Counsel for Plaintiffs
STEPHANIE JONES NOJIMA (SBN 178453)	
JOSHUA ROY ENGEL	
SANDERS ROBERTS LLP	
1055 West 7th Street, Suite 3200	
Los Angeles, CA 90017	
Telephone: (213) 426-5000 - Facsimile: (213) 234-4581	
E-Mail: <u>lhinkle@sandersroberts.com</u> ;	
sjonesnojima@sandersroberts.com;	
jengel@sandersroberts.com;	
Justin Kian, Esq.	Co-Counsel for Defendants
J.T. Fox, Esq.	, , ,
LAW OFFICES OF JT FOX, APC	
556 S. Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 444	
Pasadena, California 91105	
Telephone: (888) 750-5530 - Fax: (888) 750-5530	
Email: jt@jtfoxlaw.com;	
justin@jtfoxlaw.com	

By ECF/CM: I electronically filed an accurate copy using the Court's Electronic Court Filing ("ECF") System and service was completed by electronic means by transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing on the registered participants of the ECF System.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Unites States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Clarita, California on March 6, 2024.

<u>/s/ Yolanda Nelson</u> Yolanda Nelson